

SOCHUM

The Russian Federation started the debate by saying that its nation will always fight for an ally, claiming that it will always support your brother if he is being oppressed. On the other hand, the United States delegation seemed intolerant towards the Russian Federation. The US claimed that it will not negotiate with terrorists, therefore it will not agree to reach an easy and peaceful consensus. Later on, the State of Israel Presents the etymology as a motive to present that the delegates should not be tolerant towards terrorist acts as the main basis of those groups that carry them out. The Colombian Republic says that, taking into account what the Russian Federation said, "if my brother is being attacked, lets fight back to aid it", this will cause more conflict instead of reaching a just peace through a consensus, so this delegation does not approve with what the Russian federation presents.

Now, when the debate takes place again, the United States established that it doesn't matter the motive, neither religious nor ethnic, terrorist attacks should not be accepted, therefore refuses to cooperate with Syria nether with Iran. The State of Israel exhorts the delegates not only to aid people in the humanitarian aspect but also to help in the educative aspect, complementing what the UK has said. Then, the United Kingdom delegation suggests that the presence of poverty is the main problem for terrorism to emerge. The French Republic delegation exposes that a consensus must be reached through a negotiation in order to prevent further violent attacks related to armed conflict. Finally, the Colombian Republic, again, uses its country's historical context about peace negotiations and treaties that have been carried out as an example of the correct functionality of those negotiations, therefore motivate the other delegates to be up to negotiate and reach a consensus.

A crisis began, which consisted in the official exit of the United States from the UN Global Counter-terrorism Strategy group (this nation will stop sponsoring said group), considering that the group itself has nations that support terrorist groups. With the USA, its allies have also left said group. Now the Ambassador of the IR of Iran exposed that the withdrawal of the United States and its allies is going to cause more damage rather than making any good. As a response, the United States along with the United Kingdom, established the REPACT initiative as an alternative for the previously mentioned UN group. Lastly, the Russian Federation blamed the United States and its allies' decision as completely radical, also claiming that the internal situation of the countries that were left behind for supposedly supporting terrorism is the actual cause for terrorism to happen, and in that way to wake up the prejudice of said nations helping the terrorist groups that are present in their territory.